arXiv:1302.5796v1 [math.DG] 23 Feb 2013

THE HARTOGS EXTENSION PROBLEM FOR HOLOMORPHIC PARABOLIC AND REDUCTIVE GEOMETRIES

BENJAMIN MCKAY

Abstract. Every holomorphic effective parabolic or reductive geometry on a domain over a Stein manifold extends uniquely to the envelope of holomorphy of the domain. This result completes the open problems of my paper [14]. We use this result to classify the Hopf manifolds which admit holomorphic reductive geometries, and to classify the Hopf manifolds which admit holomorphic parabolic geometries. Every Hopf manifold which admits a holomorphic parabolic geometry with a given model admits a flat one. We classify flat holomorphic parabolic geometries on Hopf manifolds.

Contents

1. Statement of the theorems 2
2. Application: blowing down 2
3. Definitions 2
3.1. Envelopes of holomorphy 2
3.2. Cartan geometries 3
3.3. Effective, reductive and parabolic geometries 3
3.4. Curvature 3
3.5. Structures 4
4. Extension phenomena 4
5. First order structures 4
5.1. Coframe bundles 4
5.2. Extension 4
6. The tangent bundle in a Cartan geometry 6
7. Cartan geometries and their induced first order structures 6
8. Reductive geometries and connections 7
9. The Boole bundle and Boole section 7
10. Extension of reductive geometries 8
11. Rational homogeneous varieties 9
12. Chevalley bases for generalized flag varieties 12
13. Chevalley bases for parabolic geometries 12
14. Pairing a connection on the canonical bundle with a parabolic geometry 13
15. Extension of parabolic geometries 16
16. Families of first order structures and geometries 17

Date: February 26, 2013.

Key words and phrases. Cartan geometry, Hartogs extension.

It is a pleasure to thank Sorin Dumitrescu and Filippo Bracci for helpful conversations on the problems solved in this paper, and for inviting me to the Laboratoire de Mathématiques J.A. Dieudonné at the University of Nice Sophia–Antipolis and to the University of Rome Tor Vergata where part of this work was carried out.

17. Flat Cartan connections and disk convexity 19
18. Example: Hopf manifolds 19
18.1. Definition 19
18.2. Holomorphic affine connections 20
18.3. Reductive first order structures 21
18.4. Reductive geometries 22
18.5. All known parabolic geometries on compact complex manifolds 22
18.6. Parabolic geometries 23
18.7. Flat Cartan connections and basins of attraction 24
19. Conclusion 26
References 26

1. Statement of the theorems

Theorem 1.1. *Every holomorphic effective reductive geometry with connected model on a domain over a Stein manifold extends uniquely to the envelope of holomorphy of the domain.*

Theorem 1.2. *Every holomorphic effective parabolic geometry on a domain over a Stein manifold extends uniquely to the envelope of holomorphy of the domain.*

These theorems restrict the possible singularities of holomorphic parabolic geometries. The theorem are surprising; many examples [14] of holomorphic Cartan connections defined on such domains do *not* extend to the envelope of holomorphy.

2. Application: blowing down

A complex manifold bearing a holomorphic reductive or parabolic geometry cannot blowdown. More generally, suppose that $f\colon X \to Y$ is a holomorphic map of complex manifolds, and that away from some subvariety $X_0 \subset X$, f is a biholomorphism, say $f|_{X-X_0} : X - X_0 \to Y - Y_0$, where $Y_0 \subset Y$ is a subvariety of complex codimension 2 or more. Suppose further that f is not a biholomorphism. For example, you might imagine that X is a blowup of Y along Y_0. Suppose for the moment that X is equipped with a holomorphic effective parabolic or reductive geometry. Then f induces such a geometry on $Y - Y_0$. By our theorems above, this geometry holomorphically extends to Y. The bundle and Cartan connection of the geometry on Y pull back to X, agreeing by analyticity with the geometry already found on X. The pullback of the Cartan connection is therefore nondegenerate along X_0. But then f is a local biholomorphism everywhere on X, and so f is a biholomorphism $X \to Y$, a contradiction. Therefore X admits no holomorphic parabolic geometry.

3. Definitions

3.1. **Envelopes of holomorphy.** A complex manifold M is *Stein* if M admits a proper holomorphic embedding in a finite dimensional complex Euclidean space. A complex manifold M is a *domain over* a Stein manifold X if there is a local biholomorphism $M \to X$. An *envelope of holomorphy* of a complex manifold M is a Stein manifold $\hat{M}$ containing M as a domain so that every holomorphic function on M extends uniquely to a holomorphic function on $\hat{M}$. Rossi [18] proved that if

M is a domain over a Stein manifold, then M has a unique envelope of holomorphy up to a biholomorphism which is the identity on M.

3.2. **Cartan geometries.** If $E \to M$ is a principal right H-bundle, we denote the action of any element $h \in H$ as $r_h \colon E \to E$.

Definition 3.1. Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup of a Lie group, with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let $X = G/H$. A (G, X)-geometry (also known as a *Cartan geometry* modelled on X) on a manifold M is a choice of C^∞ principal H-bundle $E \to M$, and smooth 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(E) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ called the *Cartan connection*, which satisifies all of the following conditions:

1. $r_h^* \omega = \operatorname{Ad}_h^{-1} \omega$ for all $h \in H$.
2. $\omega_e \colon T_e E \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a linear isomorphism at each point $e \in E$.
3. For each $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, define a vector field $\vec{A}$ on E by the equation $\vec{A} \lrcorner \omega = A$. Then the vector fields $\vec{A}$ for $A \in \mathfrak{h}$ generate the H-action on E.

Sharpe [21] gives an introduction to Cartan geometries.

Example 3.2. The principal H-bundle $G \to X$ is a Cartan geometry, with the left invariant Maurer–Cartan 1-form $\omega = g^{-1}\, dg$ on G as Cartan connection; this geometry is called the *model Cartan geometry.*

An *isomorphism* of (G, X)-geometries $E_0 \to M_0$ and $E_1 \to M_1$ with Cartan connections ω_0 and ω_1 is an H-equivariant diffeomorphism $F \colon E_0 \to E_1$ so that $F^* \omega_1 = \omega_0$.

3.3. **Effective, reductive and parabolic geometries.** Suppose that G is a Lie group and $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup, and $X = G/H$. A (G, X)-geometry is *effective* if G acts effectively on X, *parabolic* if G is a semisimple Lie group and H is a connected parabolic subgroup of G, and *reductive* if G is a linear algebraic group and H is a reductive linear algebraic subgroup.

3.4. **Curvature.** The *curvature bundle* of a Cartan geometry $E \to M$ is the vector bundle $E \times_H W$ where

$$W = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^2 \left(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}\right)^* .$$

The *curvature* of a Cartan geometry $E \to M$ with Cartan connection ω is the section of the curvature bundle determined by the function $K \colon E \to W$ for which

$$d\omega + \frac{1}{2}\left[\omega, \omega\right] = \frac{1}{2} K \omega \wedge \omega.$$

A Cartan geometry is *flat* if its curvature vanishes. Suppose that G is a complex Lie group and $H \subset G$ a closed complex subgroup. Pick a Cartan geometry $E \to M$, say modelled on (G, X). If the curvature of the Cartan geometry is complex linear, i.e. lies in

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda^{2,0} \left(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}\right),$$

then the 1-form ω imposes a complex structure on E for which ω is complex linear. The 1-form $\omega + \mathfrak{h}$ is semibasic at each point of E, and is therefore pointwise the pullback of a linear isomorphism $T_m M \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. This linear isomorphism is then complex linear for a unique complex structure on M.

On the other hand, if M is already given a complex structure, we will say that a Cartan geometry is *holomorphic* for the given complex structure on M if the curvature is complex linear and the induced complex structure agrees with the one given on M.

3.5. **Structures.** A (G, X)*-developing pair* on a manifold M is a pair (h, δ) where $\delta \colon \tilde{M} \to X$ is a local diffeomorphism from the universal covering space $\tilde{M} \to M$, equivariant under a homomorphism $h \colon \pi_1(M) \to G$. The map δ is the *developing map*, and the morphism h is the *holonomy morphism* of the developing pair. A developing pair induces a flat (G, X)-geometry with bundle $E = \delta^* G/\pi_1(M)$ and connection form pulled back from the model. If G acts faithfully on X, then every flat (G, X)-geometry arises from a developing pair, unique up to replacing (h, δ) by

$$\left(ghg^{-1}, g\delta\right)$$

for some $g \in G$.

4. Extension phenomena

Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold. Recall [14] that a holomorphic Cartan geometry $E \to M$ extends to a holomorphic Cartan geometry on $\hat{M}$ if and only if the holomorphic principal bundle $E \to M$ extends to a holomorphic principal bundle over $\hat{M}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold. Then every holomorphic principal bundle (and every holomorphic vector bundle) on M which extends to $\hat{M}$ admits a holomorphic connection.*

Proof. It is enough to assume that $M = \hat{M}$, i.e. M is a Stein manifold. A holomorphic principal (or vector) bundle admits a holomorphic connection if and only if its Atiyah class vanishes [2]. The Atiyah class of each holomorphic principal (or vector) bundle lives in the first cohomology group of a coherent sheaf. The first cohomology group of any coherent sheaf on any Stein manifold vanishes by Henri Cartan's theorem B [5]. □

Proposition 4.2. *[McKay [14] p. 10 prop. 2] Suppose that M is a domain in a Stein manifold with envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$. If $V \to \hat{M}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle, then every section of V over M extends to a section of V over $\hat{M}$.*

5. First order structures

5.1. **Coframe bundles.** Suppose that M is a complex manifold and that V is a complex vector space, and that $\dim M = \dim V$. Let FM be the set of all pairs (m, u) where $m \in M$ and $u \colon T_m M \to V$ is a complex linear isomorphism. We call FM the *V-valued coframe bundle.* Clearly $FM \to M$ is a principal right $\operatorname{GL}(V)$-bundle, under the action $r_g(m, u) = \left(m, g^{-1}u\right)$ for $g \in \operatorname{GL}(V)$ and $(m, u) \in FM$. We will often suppress mention of V; the reader can then assume that $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $p \colon FM \to M$ be the bundle map $(m, u) \mapsto m$. The *soldering form* of FM is the 1-form $\eta \in \Omega^1(FM) \otimes V$ given by

$$\eta_{(m,u)} = u \circ \pi'(m, u).$$

5.2. **Extension.** Suppose that G is a complex Lie group and that V is a complex G-module. Suppose that M is a complex manifold, $\dim M = \dim V$, and FM is the V-valued coframe bundle of M. A *G-structure* on M is a holomorphic principal right G-bundle $B \to M$ with a G-equivariant holomorphic bundle map $B \to FM$. If we wish to disregard the group G, we will refer to a G-structure as a *first order structure.*

Theorem 5.1 (Hwang and Mok [6], McKay [14] p. 24)**.** *Suppose that V is a finite dimensional complex vector space and $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a closed reductive complex algebraic subgroup. Then every holomorphic G-structure on a domain M over a Stein manifold extends uniquely to a holomorphic G-structure on the envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$ of M.*

The *soldering form* of a G-structure $B \to FM$ is the pullback of the soldering form on FM.

Remark 5.2. If $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a closed subgroup, then every G-structure $B \to FM$ is a submanifold of FM. Quotienting the map $B \to FM$ by right G-action yields a holomorphic map $M \to FM/G$, a holomorphic section of the holomorphic fiber bundle $FM/G \to M$. Conversely, given any holomorphic section $s\colon FM/G \to M$, note that $FM \to FM/G$ is also a holomorphic principal right G-bundle, and let $B = s^* FM$. Then $B \to FM$ is a holomorphic G-structure. If G is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, then holomorphic G-structures are identified with holomorphic sections of $FM/G \to M$.

Suppose that $f\colon M_0 \to M_1$ is a local biholomorphism, that $\pi\colon B_1 \to M_1$ is a holomorphic principal right G-bundle, and that $h_1\colon B_1 \to FM_1$ is a holomorphic G-structure. Let $B_0 = f^* B_1$ be the *pullback*, i.e. B_0 is the set of pairs (m_0, b_1) so that $\pi(b_1) = f(m_0)$. Define a map $h_0\colon B_0 \to FM_0$ by

$$h_0(m_0, b_1) = h_1(b_1) \circ f'(m_0).$$

Remark 5.3. If $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a closed subgroup, then $B_1 \subset FM_1$ is a submanifold, and $B_1 = f_1 B_0$, where

$$f_1(m_0, u_0) = \left(f(m_0), u_0 \circ f'(m_0)^{-1}\right).$$

In particular, we can describe the action of a biholomorphism on a G-structure; a *symmetry* of a G-structure is a biholomorphism f for which f_* preserves the G-structure.

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose that $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a closed complex Lie subgroup. Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold. Suppose that $E \subset FM$ is a holomorphic G-structure on M. Then the G-structure extends holomorphically to the envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$ if and only if the holomorphic principal bundle $E \to M$ extends to a holomorphic principal bundle on $\hat{M}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\hat{E} \to \hat{M}$ is a holomorphic principal extending $E \to M$. The soldering form η is a holomorphic section of the holomorphic vector bundle $T^*E \otimes_G \mathbb{C}^n$ over M, and therefore extends to a holomorphic section of $T^*\hat{E} \otimes_G \mathbb{C}^n \to \hat{M}$ by proposition 4.2 on the facing page. Denote this section also as η. This section vanishes on the fibers of $\hat{E} \to \hat{M}$ over M, so by analytic continuation must vanish on all of the fibers of $\hat{E} \to \hat{M}$. The set of points $m \in \hat{M}$ above which η is not of full rank as a linear map $T^*_e \hat{E} \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a complex analytic hypersurface. Every component of every closed hypersurface in $\hat{M}$ has to intersect M by [14] p. 8 lemma 11. But above M, there is no point where η has less than full rank. Therefore this hypersurface is empty. The soldering form, being semibasic, determines a coframe at each point $e \in \hat{E}$, say $u\colon T_m M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ so that $v \lrcorner \eta_e = u(\pi'(e)v)$ for any vector $v \in T_e E$. This choice of coframe is an extension of our map to the coframe bundle. The map is G-equvariant, so gives a G-structure. □

6. The tangent bundle in a Cartan geometry

Lemma 6.1 (Sharpe [20] p. 188, theorem 3.15)**.** *If $\pi\colon E \to M$ is any Cartan geometry, say with model $X = G/H$, then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \ker \pi'(e) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\omega_e"] & T_e E \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\omega_e"] & T_m M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{h} \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for any points $m \in M$ and $e \in E_m$; thus

$$TM = E \times_H (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \text{ and } T^*M = E \times_H (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^* .$$

Under this identification, vector fields on M are identified with H-equivariant functions $E \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, and sections of the cotangent bundle with H-equivariant functions $E \to (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$.

7. Cartan geometries and their induced first order structures

Suppose that G is a complex Lie group and that $H \subset G$ is a closed complex subgroup and $X = G/H$. Suppose that $\pi\colon E \to M$ is a holomorphic (G,X)-geometry with Cartan connection ω. To each point $e \in E$, we can associate an isomorphism

$$\omega_e\colon T_e E \to \mathfrak{g}$$

and an isomorphism

$$\omega_e + \mathfrak{h}\colon T_m M \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h},$$

where $m = \pi(e)$, by lemma 6.1. Define

$$f\colon E \to FM,$$

by $f(e) = \omega_e + \mathfrak{h}$. Let $H_1 \subset H$ be the subgroup of elements of H which act trivially on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Clearly f descends to an (H/H_1)-structure $f\colon E/H_1 \to FM$. Call this map f the *associated first order structure* of the Cartan geometry.

If $H/H_1 \subset \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ is a closed subgroup, then the associated first order structure has image a submanifold of FM, and a reduction of FM to a principal right H/H_1-bundle.

Lemma 7.1. *Suppose that G is a complex linear algebraic group and $H \subset G$ is a reductive complex subgroup and that $X = G/H$ is connected and that G acts faithfully on X. Then H acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$.*

Proof. Because H is reductive, $\mathfrak{g}$ splits into a sum of H-modules, say $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$. When we quotient by $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ as H-modules, so H_1 acts trivially on $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$. Therefore H_1 commutes with the subgroup $G_0 \subset G$ generated by exponentiating $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$. Again since $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, we see that G_0 acts on X with an open orbit, say $X_0 = G_0/H_0 \subset X$, where $H_0 = G_0 \cap H$. Clearly H_1 fixes every element of X_0. Since X is connected, and G acts analytically on X, H_1 fixes all elements of X. But G acts effectively, so $H_1 = \{1\}$. □

Corollary 7.2. *Suppose that G is a complex linear algebraic group and $H \subset G$ is a reductive subgroup and that $X = G/H$ is connected and that G acts faithfully on X. Suppose that $E \to M$ is a (G,X)-geometry. Then the first order structure induced by that geometry is an embedding $E \to FM$ as a principal right H-subbundle.*

8. Reductive geometries and connections

Suppose that G is a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and let $X = G/H$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ splits as an H-module, say $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Write this splitting as $A = A_- + A_0$ for $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}' = (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ be the unique Lie algebra which agrees with $\mathfrak{g}$ as an H-module, but has $[\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}] = 0$. The *Sharpe mutation* of (G, X) is the pair (G', X') where $G' = (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \rtimes H$, with obvious Lie group structure, and $X' = G'/H = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Every (G, X)-geometry, say $E \to M$ with Cartan connection ω, has a unique (G', X')-mutation, i.e. a geometry with the same H-bundle $E \to M$ and with Cartan connection $\omega' = \omega$, viewed as being valued in $\mathfrak{g}'$ rather than $\mathfrak{g}$, using the obvious H-module isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}' \cong \mathfrak{g}$. Call this the *Sharpe mutation* of the original Cartan geometry. Clearly if $\omega' = \omega_- + \omega_0$ is the Sharpe mutation of a Cartan geometry $E \to M$, then ω_0 is a connection on the H-bundle $E \to M$.

Lemma 8.1. *Suppose that G is a complex linear algebraic group and $H \subset G$ is reductive complex algebraic subgroup and that G acts faithfully on $X = G/H$. If a complex manifold M admits a holomorphic (G, X)-geometry $E \to M$, then $E \to M$ admits a holomorphic connection, and M admits a holomorphic affine connection.*

Proof. All H-modules are completely reducible so $\mathfrak{g}$ splits as an H-module. Therefore every holomorphic reductive geometry bears a holomorphic connection: the ω_0 connection of the Sharpe mutation. Since $X' = G'/H = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ is connected, the induced first order structure is an embedding $E \to FM$, and thereby induces a holomorphic affine connection on M. $\square$

9. The Boole bundle and Boole section

Lemma 9.1 (Hilbert [17] p. 556)**.** *Suppose that $G_0 \subset G$ is a closed reductive complex linear algebraic subgroup of a complex linear algebraic group. Then there is a finite dimensional G-module W and a nonzero vector $w_0 \in W$ with stabilizer G_0. Consequently, $G/G_0 \subset W$ is an affine variety. Specifically, we can take W to be the dual space of the set of G_0-invariant regular functions on G of degree at most k, for any sufficiently large positive integer k.*

Suppose that $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a closed reductive complex linear algebraic subgroup. By the previous lemma, there is a finite dimensional $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-module W and vector $w_0 \in W$ with stabilizer G. We can then identify $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})/G = Gw_0 \subset W$.

Suppose that M is a complex manifold. Let FM be the set of coframes (m, u) where $u \colon T_mM \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ is a complex linear isomorphism. We will refer to the holomorphic vector bundle $FM \times_G W \to M$ as the *Boole bundle* of M. We can map $FM \to FM \times_G W$ by taking each coframe (m, u) to the triple

$$(m, u, w_0)$$

and then quotienting by the G-action, i.e. composing with the obvious map $FM \times W \to FM \times_G W$. This map is equivariant under the right G-action

$$(m, u, w)\, g = \left(m, g^{-1}u, g^{-1}w\right).$$

Therefore it descends to a smooth map

$$FM/G \to FM \times_G W.$$

Each fiber of FM/G is embedded as a smooth affine algebraic subvariety in the fiber of the vector bundle $FM \times_G W \to M$.

Suppose that M has a holomorphic G-structure. For each local holomorphic section σ of the G-structure, defined on an open set $U \subset M$, define a map

$$U \to FM \to FM/G \to FM \times_G W$$

by taking each point $m \in U$ at which σ is defined, to the coframe $(m, u) \in FM$ where $u = \sigma_m \colon T_m M \to \mathbb{C}^n$, and then taking quotient by G-action, and then applying the smooth map defined above. In local coordinates, we are taking $\sigma = g(z)\, dz$ a holomorphic 1-form valued in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, and composing it with a morphism $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})/G$ of affine varieties:

$$z \mapsto g(z)^{-1} w_0 \in W.$$

Clearly the value of this map is independent of the choice of coframing, by G-invariance of w_0. Call this map the *Boole section*; it is a section of the bundle $FM \times_G W \to M$.

We prove theorem 5.1 on page 5.

Proof. Sketch: The Boole section is a holomorphic section of the Boole bundle on M, a holomorphic vector bundle which extends to $\hat{M}$, so the Boole section extends uniquely to $\hat{M}$ by proposition 4.2 on page 4. The G-structure is just the set of all coframings $\sigma = g(z)\, dz$ so that the Boole section is $g(z)^{-1} w_0$: a holomorphic principal G-bundle. ☐

10. EXTENSION OF REDUCTIVE GEOMETRIES

Theorem 10.1. *Suppose that G is a complex Lie group and $H \subset G$ is a is a closed complex subgroup, with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Suppose that H acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold, and $E \to M$ is a holomorphic (G, X)-geometry. Then the (G, X)-geometry extends holomorphically to the envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$ if and only if the induced first order structure extends to a holomorphic first order structure on $\hat{M}$.*

Proof. The Cartan geometry on M extends to $\hat{M}$ just when the associated principal H-bundle $E \to M$ extends ([14] p. 19 theorem 8). The bundle of the associated first order structure is $E/H_1 = E$ by corollary 7.2 on page 6. By theorem 5.4 on page 5, the holomorphic principal bundle $E \to M$ extends holomorphically to a holomorphic principal bundle on $\hat{M}$ if and only if the first order structure extends holomorphically to $\hat{M}$. ☐

We now prove theorem 1.1 on page 2.

Proof. Suppose that G is a complex linear algebraic group and $H \subset G$ is a reductive subgroup and $X = G/H$. Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold and that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic (G, X)-geometry. By lemma 7.1 on page 6, H acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. By theorem 10.1, it suffices to prove that the induced first order structure extends, and we proved this in theorem 5.1 on page 5. ☐

11. Rational homogeneous varieties

A *generalized flag variety*, also called a *rational homogeneous variety*, is a compact complex homogeneous space G/P where G is a connected complex semisimple Lie group. The closed complex subgroups $P \subset G$ for which G/P is compact are called *parabolic* subgroups. There is some Cartan subgroup $H \subset P$, so the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ is a sum of root spaces over H; we can arrange the choice of Cartan subgroup and basis of simple roots so that the root spaces of the positive roots lie in $\mathfrak{p}$; Knapp [10] p. 475. We will assume henceforth that G/P comes equipped with a choice of such a Cartan subgroup and basis of simple roots. If α is a root, let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be its root space. Call a root α *compact* for G/P if both $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ lie in $\mathfrak{p}$. Call the associated coroot H_α *compact* just when α is compact. Let Δ be the set of roots, Δ^+ the set of positive roots, Δ^- the set of negative roots, Δ_P^+ the set of noncompact positive roots, Δ_P^- the set of noncompact negative roots, and Δ_P^0 the set of compact roots.

Definition 11.1. Suppose that G is a complex semisimple Lie group and $H \subset G$ is a Cartan subgroup, with associated Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. A *Chevalley basis* [3, 19] is a basis X_α, H_{α_i} for $\mathfrak{g}$ parameterized by roots α and simple roots α_i for which

1. $[H, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H) X_\alpha$ for each $H \in \mathfrak{h}$
2. $\alpha\left(H_\beta\right) = 2\frac{\langle \alpha,\beta\rangle}{\langle \beta,\beta\rangle}$ (measuring inner products via the Killing form)
3. $[H_\alpha, H_\beta] = 0$,
4. $$[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = \begin{cases} H_\alpha, & \text{if } \alpha+\beta=0,\\ N_{\alpha\beta} X_{\alpha+\beta}, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$
   with
   (a) $N_{\alpha\beta}$ an integer,
   (b) $N_{-\alpha,-\beta} = -N_{\alpha\beta}$,
   (c) If α, β, and $\alpha+\beta$ are roots, then $N_{\alpha\beta} = \pm(p+1)$, where p is the largest integer for which $\beta - p\,\alpha$ is a root,
   (d) $N_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ if $\alpha+\beta=0$ or if any of α, β, or $\alpha+\beta$ is not a root.

For every Cartan subgroup H of every complex semisimple Lie group G, there is an associated Chevalley basis [19] p. 52.

Lemma 11.2 (Knapp [10] p. 374, p. 481)**.** *Suppose that $P \subset G$ is a parabolic subgroup of a connected complex semisimple Lie group. Then P is a connected complex linear algebraic subgroup. There are unique connected complex linear algebraic subgroups $M, A, N \subset P$ and $N^- \subset G$ so that*

1. *M is a maximal reductive subgroup of P and*
2. *A is a maximal abelian subgroup of P and*
3. *N is the unipotent radical of P and*
4. *$P = MAN$, the* Langlands decomposition*, i.e. every element $p \in P$ is expressible uniquely as a product $p = man$ with $m \in M$, $a \in A$, $n \in N$. Moreover, this decomposition is a biholomorphism $P = M \times A \times N$ and*
5. *$N^- \cap P = \{1\}$ and*
6. *the Lie algebra of M is the span of the compact coroots and the root spaces of the compact roots and*
7. *the Lie algebra of A is the span of the noncompact coroots and*

(8) *the Lie algebra of N is the sum of the noncompact positive root spaces and*
(9) *the Lie algebra of N^- is the sum of the noncompact negative root spaces and*
(10) *the compact roots form a root system for M and*
(11) *the groups N and N^- are simply connected.*

Lemma 11.3. *Suppose that G/P is a generalized flag variety, with Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$. Suppose that G is a connected complex semisimple Lie group. Then G is generated by $N^- \cup N$ if and only if the maximal connected normal subgroup of G lying in P is trivial.*

Proof. Let $G' \subset G$ be the subgroup generated by $N^- \cup N$. Since N^- and N are path connected, G' is connected, so a Lie subgroup. Let $\mathfrak{g}''$ be the Lie algebra generated by $\mathfrak{n}^- \cup \mathfrak{n}$. Since $\mathfrak{n}^-$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ are complex Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, so is $\mathfrak{g}''$. Let G'' be the connected complex Lie subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}''$. Since N^- and N are connected, $N^-, N \subset G''$. Clearly the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of G' contains $\mathfrak{n}^-$ and $\mathfrak{n}$, so contains $\mathfrak{g}''$. Therefore $G'' \subset G'$. But $N^-, N \subset G'$ so $G' \subset G''$. Therefore G' is a complex Lie subgroup of G.

In terms of a Chevalley basis, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of G' must contain all root vectors X_α of all noncompact roots α, and therefore their brackets. The noncompact roots, positive or negative, are invariant under bracketing with the compact roots, so N and N^- are each invariant under MA. Therefore G' is invariant under MA. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}'$ is invariant under the Cartan subgroup of G, and so is a sum of root spaces. We only need to identify which root spaces lie in $\mathfrak{g}'$. So far, we have found that $\mathfrak{g}'$ contains all of the root spaces of the noncompact roots.

Suppose that α is a compact root. We need to ask if $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}'$. If we can find a noncompact root β so that $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, then

$$[X_{-\beta}, X_{\alpha+\beta}] = N_{-\beta,\alpha+\beta} X_\alpha.$$

From the definition of Chevalley basis, $N_{-\beta,\alpha+\beta} \neq 0$ since $-\beta, \alpha+\beta, \alpha$ are all roots. Therefore, for any given compact root α, if there is a noncompact root β so that $\alpha + \beta$ is also a root, then $\alpha + \beta$ is a noncompact root, so $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}'$. Note that if α is a compact root, and β a noncompact root, then all roots on the α-string

$$\dots, \beta - \alpha, \beta, \beta + \alpha, \dots$$

are noncompact too, containing the same (all positive or all negative) integer multiples of the noncompact simple roots. In other words, if α is a compact root and X_α is not in $\mathfrak{g}'$, then the α-string of every noncompact root β must be of length 1.

Consider the root system of rank 2 generated by α and β. Looking at pictures of all root systems of rank 2 [19], we see that α must be perpendicular to β. So the set of compact roots α for which $X_\alpha \notin \mathfrak{g}'$ lies on a perpendicular subspace to all of the noncompact roots, and so forms a root subsystem of a semisimple ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$. So $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{g}''$ where $\mathfrak{g}'' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple ideal. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}'$ contains the root spaces of all noncompact roots, so $\mathfrak{g}''$ must be contained in the sum of the root spaces of the compact roots, i.e. $G'' = e^{\mathfrak{g}'} \subset MA \subset P$. By hypothesis, $\mathfrak{g}'' = 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}$, and so G' is a connected open subgroup of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is connected, $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}$. □

If G is a group, let $Z(G)$ be its center.

Lemma 11.4. *Suppose that* $P \subset G$ *is a parabolic subgroup of a connected complex semisimple Lie group and with Lie algebras* $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ *and with Langlands decompositions* $P = MAN$ *and* $G = N^- MAN$*. The group* MA *normalizes the groups* N *and* N^-*. In particular we can get* MA *to act on* $\mathfrak{n}^-$*. Suppose that* $\mathfrak{p}$ *does not contain a nontrivial ideal of* $\mathfrak{g}$*. Then the subgroup* Γ *defined by*

$$1 \to \Gamma \to MA \to \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathfrak{n}^-\right)$$

is $\Gamma = Z(G) \cap MA$*. In particular,* Γ *is a subgroup of the center* $Z(G)$ *of* G*, and so is a finite abelian group.*

Proof. If $ma \in MA$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{n}^-$, it acts trivially on $\mathfrak{n}$, since $\mathfrak{n} = \left(\mathfrak{n}^-\right)^*$ via the Killing form, so ma acts trivially on the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\mathfrak{n} \cup \mathfrak{n}^-$. By lemma 11.3 on the facing page, this subalgebra is all of $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore $\mathrm{Ad}(ma) = I$, so $ma \in Z(G)$. ☐

A homogeneous space G/H is *effective* ([20] p. 150) if G acts effectively on G/H, i.e. if the largest normal subgroup of G contained in H is $\{1\}$. Strictly speaking, effectivity is a property of the pair (G, H), but we will think of it as a property of G/H.

Lemma 11.5. *A generalized flag variety* G/P *is effective if and only if both*

1. *G has trivial center and*
2. *the Lie algebra of P contains no nontrivial ideal in the Lie algebra of G.*

Remark 11.6. Note that G has trivial center if and only if G is in adjoint form, i.e. $G = \mathrm{Ad}\, G$.

Proof. Suppose that G/P is effective. The Lie algebra of P contains a nontrivial ideal in the Lie algebra of G just when P contains a positive dimensional subgroup normal in G, which then acts trivially on G/P, so is trivial. Clearly $Z(G)$ is discrete, abelian and normal in G. We need to see that $Z(G) \subset P$. A subgroup P would remain parabolic if we replaced it by the subgroup $P\,Z(G)$, and would have the same Lie algebra. But every parabolic subgroup is connected, and determined by its Lie algebra [4]. So $Z(G) \subset P$. But G is effective, so $Z(G) = \{1\}$.

Now suppose that G has trivial center and that the Lie algebra of P contains no nontrivial ideal in the Lie algebra of G. Suppose that $K \subset P$ is a normal subgroup of G. So the Lie algebra of K is an ideal in the Lie algebra of G, and therefore is trivial. So K is a discrete normal subgroup of G. If $g(t)$ is a path in G with $g(0) = 1$, and $k \in K$, then $g(t)kg(t)^{-1} \in K$, must be constant. Since G is connected, $gkg^{-1} = k$ for $g \in G$ and $k \in K$. So $K \subset Z(G) = \{1\}$. ☐

Corollary 11.7. *Suppose that* $P \subset G$ *is a complex parabolic subgroup of a connected complex semisimple Lie group* G*, with Langlands decomposition* $P = MAN$*. Then* MA *normalizes* N^-*, and thereby acts as Lie algebra automorphisms on* $\mathfrak{n}^-$*. The action of* MA *on* $\mathfrak{n}^-$ *is faithul iff* G/P *is effective.*

Proof. Define Γ by

$$1 \to \Gamma \to MA \to \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathfrak{n}^-\right).$$

Suppose that the action of MA on $\mathfrak{n}^-$ is faithful. If $\mathfrak{p}$ contains a nontrivial ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{m}$ must contain that semisimple summand of $\mathfrak{g}$, since $\mathfrak{a}$ is abelian and $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent. Decomposing $\mathfrak{g}$ into simple summands, $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{g}_i$, we decompose $\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{p}_i$ etc. giving the Langlands decomposition of each summand. So if $\mathfrak{g}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}$

then $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{g}_i$, and $\mathfrak{n}_i = 0$. So $G_i = \exp \mathfrak{g}_i \subset MA$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{n}^-$. So G_i is trivial, and so the ideal in $\mathfrak{p}$ is trivial. By lemma 11.4 on the previous page, $Z(G) \cap MA = \Gamma = 1$. We need only show that $Z(G) \subset MA$. Pick some $g \in Z(G)$. Every Cartan subgroup is the adjoint stabilizer of some element of itself, and therefore contains the center of G. Since there is a Cartan subgroup of G in MA, $Z(G) \subset MA$ so $Z(G) = 1$.

Suppose on the other hand that G/P is effective. By lemma 11.5 on the preceding page, $Z(G) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ contains no nontrivial ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$. So Γ is discrete. Since $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathfrak{n}^*$ as MA-modules, Γ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^-$, and therefore commutes with the subgroup of G generated by $N \cup N^-$. Because G/P is effective, the minimal normal subgroup of G lying in P is trivial. By lemma 11.3 on page 10, G is generated by $N^- \cup N$, so $\Gamma \subset Z(G) = 1$. □

12. Chevalley bases for generalized flag varieties

Suppose that $X = G/P$ is a generalized flag variety. Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and a Chevalley basis for $\mathfrak{g}$ as in definition 11.1 on page 9. Consider the 1-forms ω^α dual to the vectors X_α of the Chevalley basis. We use the Killing form to extend α from $\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$, by splitting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{h}^\perp$, and taking $\alpha = 0$ on $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$. The 1-forms ω^α, α span $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

Each exterior form in $\Lambda^* (\mathfrak{g})^*$ extends uniquely to a left invariant differential form in $\Omega^* (G)$, and we will write these forms with the same symbols. These forms determine a basis of left invariant 1-forms ω^α, α_i (with the α_i varying over the basis of simple roots), and a basis of left invariant vector fields X_α, H_{α_i}. From the commutator relations for $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^\alpha &= -\alpha \wedge \omega^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} N_{\beta\gamma} \omega^\beta \wedge \omega^\gamma \\ d\alpha &= -\sum_\beta \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle} \omega^\beta \wedge \omega^{-\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

with sums over all roots. The basis ω^α, α_i is *not* the dual basis to X_α, H_{α_i}.

If G/P is a rational homogeneous variety, let $\delta = \delta_{G/P}$ be

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^-} \alpha$$

where the sum is over all noncompact negative roots.

Lemma 12.1. *[Knapp* [10] *p. 330, corollary 5.100,* [15] *lemma 2] The Killing form inner product $\langle \delta, \beta \rangle$ (where δ is half the sum of noncompact negative roots, and β any root) vanishes just precisely for β a compact root.*

13. Chevalley bases for parabolic geometries

Suppose that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic parabolic geometry, modelled on a generalized flag variety $X = G/P$. Similar structure equations hold for any parabolic geometry with the same model. The Cartan connection is a 1-form valued in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G, so splits into a sum of 1-forms ω^α and α_i from the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into root spaces, which we write using the same notation. From the definition of a Cartan geometry, the Cartan connection satisfies the same structure equations as the Maurer–Cartan form on the model, but with semibasic curvature correction terms, so

$$d\omega^\alpha = -\alpha \wedge \omega^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} N_{\beta\gamma}\omega^\beta \wedge \omega^\gamma + \sum_{\beta,\gamma\in\Delta_P^-} K^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\omega^\beta \wedge \omega^\gamma, \tag{1}$$

$$d\alpha = -\sum_\beta \frac{\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle}{\langle\beta,\beta\rangle}\omega^\beta \wedge \omega^{-\beta} + \sum_{\beta,\gamma\in\Delta_P^-} L^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\omega^\beta \wedge \omega^\gamma, \tag{2}$$

where the K and L terms are Cartan geometry curvature terms, so they vanish except possibly for β and γ noncompact negative roots. It is easy to check from the above equations that for any $\beta \in \Delta_P^+$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_\beta}\delta = -2\frac{\langle\delta,\beta\rangle}{\langle\beta,\beta\rangle}\omega^{-\beta}$$

and, for $\beta \in \Delta_P^+$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_P^-$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_\beta}\omega^\alpha = -N_{\beta,\alpha-\beta}\omega^{\alpha-\beta}.$$

14. Pairing a connection on the canonical bundle with a parabolic geometry

Suppose that ϕ is a holomorphic connection for the canonical bundle κ_M of a complex manifold M. The 1-form ϕ is a holomorphic 1-form on the total space of $\kappa_M^\times \to M$, invariant under rescaling of $\kappa_M^\times$ by elements of $\mathbb{C}^\times$. If X is the infinitesimal generator of that rescaling, i.e.

$$X(\xi) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}e^t\xi\right|_{t=0}$$

for $\xi \in \kappa_M$, then $X \lrcorner \phi = 1$.

Definition 14.1. Suppose that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic parabolic geometry modelled on a rational homogeneous variety $X = G/P$. Pick a Chevalley basis as in section 13 on the preceding page. At each point $e \in E$, the 1-forms ω^α, for α a noncompact negative root, span the semibasic 1-forms. Pick any ordering of the noncompact negative roots. The form

$$\Omega = \bigwedge_{\alpha\in\Delta_P^-} \omega^\alpha$$

(a wedge product over noncompact negative roots) spans the semibasic forms of top degree. Check (see [15] p. 6 for details) that $d\Omega = -2\delta \wedge \Omega$.

Pick a point $e \in E$ and let $m = \pi(e) \in M$. There is a unique top degree form in $\Lambda^{\text{top},0}\left(T^*_m M\right)$ which pulls back to Ω, and by abuse of notation we denote it $\Omega_e \in \Lambda^{\text{top},0}\left(T^*M\right)$. Denote the obvious representation of P on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$ as $\rho\colon P \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$. Then

$$\Omega_{ep} = \frac{\Omega_e}{\det\rho(p)}.$$

Define a map

$$f\colon e \in E \mapsto \Omega_e \in \kappa_M^\times,$$

and check that

$$f(ep) = \frac{f(e)}{\det\rho(p)}.$$

Then under the flow of any vector $A \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have $f_* \vec{A} = -2\delta(A) X$. Denote $f^* \phi$ as ϕ by abuse of notation. For any $p \in P$, $r_p^* \phi = \phi$, and, for any $A \in \mathfrak{p}$, $\vec{A} \lrcorner \phi = -2\delta(A)$. Since the various ω^α, for α noncompact negative, form a basis for the semibasic 1-forms,

$$\phi = -2\delta + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^-} t_\alpha \omega^\alpha \tag{3}$$

for some unique holomorphic functions $t_\alpha \colon E \to \mathbb{C}$. Call the function $t = (t_\alpha) : E \to (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})^* = \mathfrak{n}$ the *parabolic torsion* of the connection ϕ on the canonical bundle κ_M with respect to the parabolic geometry.

Remark 14.2. A first it may appear that the parabolic torsion is a holomorphic 1-form, but as we will see it is more complicated.

If ξ is a holomorphic 1-form on M and ϕ is a holomorphic connection on the canonical bundle κ_M, then $\phi + \xi$ is also a holomorphic connection on κ_M, and all holomorphic connections on κ_M have this form. Denote the pullback of ξ to E also as ξ, and then we can write ξ as

$$\xi = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^-} s_\alpha \omega^\alpha,$$

for some holomorphic functions $s = (s_\alpha)$. If the parabolic torsion of ϕ is $t = (t_\alpha)$, then the parabolic torsion of $\phi + \xi$ is $t + s$. In particular, locally every value of $t \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$ occurs as the parabolic torsion of some local holomorphic connection on κ_M.

Lemma 14.3. *Suppose that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic parabolic geometry modelled on a rational homogeneous variety $X = G/P$. Take a Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$. Suppose that ϕ is a holomorphic connection on the canonical bundle of M. Let $E_0 \subset E$ be the set of points at which the parabolic torsion of the connection vanishes. Then E_0 is a principal right MA-bundle. The 1-forms ω^α for α a positive noncompact root are all semibasic on E_0. Under the MA-invariant splitting*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n},$$

the pullback of ω to E_0 splits into

$$\omega = (\omega^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^-} \oplus (\omega^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^0} \oplus (\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta} \oplus (\omega^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^+} .$$

The last of these,

$$(\omega^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^+}$$

is a multiple of the first on E_0, i.e. of

$$(\omega^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^-} .$$

The 1-form

$$(\omega^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^-} \oplus (\omega^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_P^0} \oplus (\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta}$$

on E_0 is the holomorphic Cartan connection of a reductive Cartan geometry modelled on (G', X') where $G' = MA \ltimes (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})$ and $X' = G'/MA = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Pick a positive noncompact root $\beta \in \Delta^+_P$, and apply Lie derivatives to equation 3 on the facing page to find

$$-4\frac{\langle \delta, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle}\omega^{-\beta} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^-_P} \left(\left(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{X}_\beta} t_\alpha \right) \omega^\alpha + t_\alpha \mathcal{L}_{\vec{X}_\beta} \omega^\alpha \right). \tag{4}$$

Set $t_\alpha = 0$ if $\alpha \notin \Delta^-_P$. Then we can write this as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{X}_\beta} t_\alpha = -4\frac{\langle \delta, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle}\delta_{\alpha+\beta=0} + t_{\alpha+\beta} N_{\beta,\alpha}.$$

Computing the bracket

$$\left[\vec{X}_\beta, \vec{X}_\gamma\right] = \overrightarrow{[X_\beta, X_\gamma]},$$

and using the fact that the quantities t_α can be chosen arbitrarily at any point, we find

$$\frac{\langle \delta, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle} N_{\gamma,-\beta-\gamma} + \frac{\langle \delta, \gamma \rangle}{\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle} N_{\beta,-\beta-\gamma} = \frac{\langle \delta, \beta+\gamma \rangle}{\langle \beta+\gamma, \beta+\gamma \rangle} N_{\beta\gamma} \tag{5}$$

for any $\beta, \gamma \in \Delta^+_P$ and

$$N_{\beta,\alpha+\gamma} N_{\gamma,\alpha} - N_{\gamma,\alpha+\beta} N_{\beta,\alpha} = N_{\beta\gamma} N_{\beta+\gamma,\alpha}, \tag{6}$$

for any $\beta, \gamma \in \Delta^+_P$ and $\alpha \in \Delta^-_P$. Note that these two equations express identities valid in any Chevalley basis of any semisimple Lie algebra.

Write the elements of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})^*$ as

$$T = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^-_P} T_\alpha \omega^\alpha \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})^*,$$

using the X_α of the Chevalley basis for α ranging over negative noncompact roots. For each $\beta \in \Delta^+_P$, define an infinitesimal affine transformation $\tilde{X}_\beta$ of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})^*$ by

$$\tilde{X}_\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^-_P} \left(-4\frac{\langle \delta, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle}\delta_{\alpha+\beta=0} + T_{\alpha+\beta} N_{\beta,\alpha} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial T_\alpha}.$$

Computing brackets, equations 5 and 6 tell us that these $\tilde{X}_\beta$ vector fields satisfy the commutation relations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$. Being affine, they are complete. By lemma 11.2 on page 9, N is simply connected, and therefore these vector fields define a right action of N on $\mathfrak{n}$ by affine transformations, say $a\colon N \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{n}) \ltimes \mathfrak{n}$. Moreover, the parabolic torsion transforms according to $r_n^* t = a(n)t$. In particular, if we take $\beta = -\alpha$, we find

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{X}_{-\alpha}} t_\alpha = 4\frac{\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$$

which is not 0 by lemma 12.1 on page 12. It follows that the vector fields $\tilde{X}_\beta$ are linearly independent at each point of $\mathfrak{n}$. Therefore the orbits of the N-action on $\mathfrak{n}$ are open, and so there is only one orbit of N on $\mathfrak{n}$.

The set $\Gamma \subset N$ of elements of N fixing the origin in $\mathfrak{n}$ is a discrete subgroup. Because $\mathfrak{n}$ and N are simply connected, and $\mathfrak{n} = \Gamma \backslash N$, we must have $\Gamma = \{1\}$. Consequently we can find, for each $e \in E$, a unique and holomorphically determined $n \in N$ for which $t(en) = 0$. Let $E_0 \subset E$ be the zero locus of t, so E_0 is a complex submanifold of E, intersecting each fiber uniquely and transversely. Moreover, E_0 is MA-invariant by definition, since parabolic torsion is MA-invariant. So E_0 is a smooth principal right MA-subbundle. Each tangent space of E_0 is cut out by equations setting ω^{β} (for β a positive noncompact root) to a multiple of the various ω^{α} (for α a negative noncompact root). $\square$

Remark 14.4. Pick any Lie group L and any holomorphic principal L-bundle $p\colon X \to M$. Write the vector fields generating the L-action as

$$\vec{A} = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} x e^{tA},$$

for $x \in X$ and $A \in \mathfrak{l}$. Let X' be the set of all pairs (x, ψ) where $x \in X$ and $\psi\colon T_x X \to \mathfrak{l}$ is a complex linear map so that $\vec{A}(x) \lrcorner \psi = A$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{l}$. The group L acts on X' in the obvious way:

$$(x, \psi)\ell = \left(x\ell, \operatorname{Ad} \ell^{-1} r_{\ell}^{*} \psi\right)$$

and this action is clearly free and proper. Let $\nabla X = X'/L$. Holomorphic sections of the holomorphic fiber bundle $\nabla X \to M$ are precisely holomorphic connections on $X \to M$.

Returning to our parabolic geometry, make a map $F\colon E \to \nabla \kappa_M^{\times}$, by asking that $f(e)^{*} F(e) = -2\delta$. It immediately follows from the previous lemma above that there is a unique such map F and that, if ϕ is any holomorphic connection on the canonical bundle of M, with graph $\Gamma_{\phi} \subset \nabla \kappa_M^{\times}$, then $E_0 = F^{-1}\Gamma_{\phi}$ is the set of zeroes of the parabolic torsion of ϕ on E. In fact, F is N-invariant and identifies $E/N = \nabla \kappa_M^{\times}$. We won't need this result, so we leave it to the reader to check.

Theorem 14.5. *Suppose that M is a complex manifold bearing a holomorphic effective parabolic geometry $E \to M$, say with model G/P. Suppose that P has Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$. The canonical bundle of M bears a holomorphic connection if and only if E contains a holomorphic principal MA-subbundle.*

Proof. We have seen one direction already: by lemma 14.3 on page 14, a holomorphic connection on the canonical bundle reduces every holomorphic effective parabolic geometry to a holomorphic principal MA-subbundle. Suppose that $E_0 \subset E$ is a holomorphic principal right MA-subbundle, where $E \to M$ is a holomorphic effective parabolic geometry. Let $\phi = -2\,\delta$; then ϕ is an MA-invariant holomorphic 1-form on E_0. Calculate that for any $\beta \in \Delta_P^{+}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{X}_{\beta}} \phi = 0,$$

and that for any root α,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{H}_{\alpha}} \phi = 0.$$

By lemma 11.2 on page 9, MA is connected so $r_p \phi = \phi$ for $p \in MA$. Suppose that κ_M is the canonical bundle of M. Define a map $f\colon E \to \kappa_M^{\times}$ as in definition 14.1 on page 13. Reversing our steps we easily check that ϕ is the pullback of a unique holomorphic connection form on $\kappa_M^{\times}$. $\square$

15. EXTENSION OF PARABOLIC GEOMETRIES

We now prove theorem 1.2 on page 2.

Proof. Suppose that G is a complex semisimple Lie group and $P \subset G$ is a parabolic subgroup and that $X = G/P$. Suppose that G acts faithfully on X, i.e. G is in its adjoint form by lemma 11.5 on page 11. Suppose that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic (G, X)-geometry and that M is a domain over a Stein manifold. Let $\hat{M}$ be the envelope of holomorphy of M. By lemma 4.1 on page 4, we can assume that the canonical bundle of M has a holomorphic connection. By lemma 14.3 on page 14, this connection together with the parabolic geometry imposes a reductive geometry $E_0 \to M$ modelled on (G', X'), where $G' = MA \ltimes (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})$ and $H' = MA$. By corollary 11.7 on page 11, H' acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}'/\mathfrak{h}'$. By theorem 10.1 on page 8, every (G', X')-geometry on M extends uniquely to a holomorphic (G', X')-geometry on $\hat{M}$, say $E_0' \to \hat{M}$. Let $E' = E_0' \times_{MA} P$. So $E' \to \hat{M}$ is a holomorphic principal right P-bundle extending E. The (G, X)-geometry on M extends to $\hat{M}$ just when the associated principal H-bundle $E \to M$ extends ([14] p. 19 theorem 8). Therefore the (G, X)-geometry on M extends to $\hat{M}$. □

16. Families of first order structures and geometries

A *family of complex manifolds* is a nowhere singular holomorphic foliation F of a complex manifold M. We identify any foliation F with set of tangent vectors tangent to its leaves, so $F \subset TM$. The *frame bundle* of F is the principal right $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-bundle $\operatorname{Fr} F \to M$ whose elements are pairs (m, u) where $m \in M$ and $u \colon F_m \to \mathbb{C}^n$ a linear isomorphism. Suppose that $\rho \colon G \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a complex representation of a complex Lie group G. A *holomorphic family of G-structures* is a family F of complex manifolds and a holomorphic principal right G-bundle $E \to M$ and a G-equivariant holomorphic bundle map $E \to \operatorname{Fr} F$.

If $p \colon E \to M$ is a holomorphic principal bundle and F is a holomorphic foliation on M, let FE be the foliation on E whose leaves are the preimages of the leaves of F, and write F as FM for clarity. Suppose that $E \to \operatorname{Fr} FM$ is a family of G-structures. Pick any $e \in E$ and suppose that the bundle map $E \to \operatorname{Fr} FM$ takes $e \in E \mapsto (m, u) \in \operatorname{Fr} FM$. The *soldering form* of the family of G-structures is the object $\eta \in FE^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ defined by $v \lrcorner \eta = u\left(p'(e)v\right)$ for any $v \in F_e E$.

Suppose that $X = G/H$ is a complex homogeneous space. A *family of (G, X)-geometries* (also called a *family of Cartan geometries* modelled on X) on a family FM of complex manifolds is a holomorphic principal right H-bundle $E \to M$ and a section ω of $FE^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, called the *Cartan connection*, satisfying the following conditions.

1. Denote the right action of $g \in G$ on $e \in E$ by $r_g e = eg$. The Cartan connection transforms in the adjoint representation:
$$r_g^* \omega = \operatorname{Ad}_g^{-1} \omega.$$
2. $\omega_e \colon F_e E \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a linear isomorphism at each point $e \in E$.
3. For each $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, define a section of FE over E by the equation $\vec{A} \lrcorner \omega = A$. The vector fields $\vec{A}$ on E, for $A \in \mathfrak{h}$, generate the right H-action:
$$\vec{A} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} r_{e^{tA}} \right|_{t=0}.$$

If G is a complex semisimple Lie group and $X = G/P$ is a rational homogeneous variety, then a family of (G, X)-geometries is called a *family of parabolic geometries.*

Recall the foliation of $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ by radial lines. Clearly foliations, and even holomorphic submersions, do not generally extend to envelopes of holomorphy. Therefore we will pose the Hartogs extension problem only for holomorphic foliations which are already assumed to extend.

Theorem 16.1. *Suppose that $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a closed complex Lie subgroup. Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold and FM is a nowhere singular* *holomorphic foliation of M. Suppose that $E \subset \operatorname{Fr} FM$ is a holomorphic family of G-structures on M. Then the family extends holomorphically to a holomorphic family of G-structures on the envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$ if and only if the holomorphic principal bundle $E \to M$ extends to a holomorphic principal bundle on $\hat{M}$.*

Proof. We just make the obvious modifications to the proof we gave above for a single G-structure. Write the extension of $E \to M$ as $\hat{E} \to \hat{M}$. (It is true that $\hat{E}$ is the envelope of holomorphy of E, although we won't need to know this, so we leave the reader to check it.) The soldering form is a holomorphic section of the holomorphic vector bundle $FE^* \otimes_G \mathbb{C}^n$ over M, and therefore extends to a holomorphic section of $F\hat{E}^* \otimes_G \mathbb{C}^n$ on $\hat{M}$ by [14] proposition 2. This section vanishes on the fibers of $E \to M$, so by analytic continuation must vanish on all of the fibers of $\hat{E} \to \hat{M}$. The set of points $e \in \hat{E}$ at which this section is not of full rank as a linear map $F^*_e \hat{E} \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a complex analytic hypersurface of $\hat{E}$ clearly. Being G-invariant, it projects to a complex analytic hypersurface of $\hat{M}$. Every component of this hypersurface has to intersect M by [14] p. 8 lemma 11. Therefore this hypersurface is empty. The soldering form, being semibasic, determines a coframe at each point $e \in \hat{E}$, say $u \colon F_m M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ so that $v \lrcorner \eta_e = u\left(\pi'(e) v\right)$ for any vector $v \in F_e \hat{E}$. This choice of coframe is an extension of our map to the coframe bundle. The map is G-equivariant, so is a family of G-structures. □

Theorem 16.2. *Suppose that $H \subset G$ is a closed complex Lie subgroup of a complex Lie group and $X = G/H$. Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold and $F\hat{M}$ is nowhere singular holomorphic foliation of the envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$ of M. Suppose that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic family of (G, X)-geometries over the family FM. Then the family of geometries extends holomorphically to the envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$ if and only if the holomorphic principal bundle $E \to M$ extends to a holomorphic principal bundle on $\hat{M}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $E \to M$ extends to a holomorphic principal bundle $\hat{E} \to \hat{M}$. The Cartan connection is a holomorphic section of $FE^* \otimes_H \mathfrak{g} \to M$, and therefore extends to a holomorphic section of $F\hat{E}^* \otimes_H \mathfrak{g} \to \hat{M}$ by [14] proposition 2. Lets call this section $\hat{\omega}$. The set of points $e \in E$ at which the linear map

$$v \in T_e E \mapsto v \lrcorner \hat{\omega} + \mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$$

is not a linear isomorphism is a closed complex analytic hypersurface of $\hat{M}$. Every component of this hypersurface has to intersect M by [14] p. 8 lemma 11. Therefore this hypersurface is empty. The object ω satisfies $\vec{A} \lrcorner \omega = A$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{h}$ where $\vec{A}$ is the associated generator of the infinitesimal $\mathfrak{h}$-action on E. The analogous statement on $\hat{E}$ must hold by analytic continuation. Therefore $\hat{\omega}$ is a Cartan connection. □

Theorem 16.3. *Suppose that G is a complex Lie group and $H \subset G$ is a is a closed complex subgroup, with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let $X = G/H$. Suppose that H acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold and $F\hat{M}$ is a nowhere singular holomorphic foliation of the envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$ of M. Suppose that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic family of (G, X)-geometries over FM. Then the family of geometries extends holomorphically to the envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$ if and only if the associated first order structure extends to a holomorphic first order structure on $\hat{M}$.*

Proof. The family of Cartan geometries on M extends holomorphically to $\hat{M}$ just when the associated principal H-bundle $E \to M$ extends holomorphically by theorem 16.2 on the facing page. The bundle of the associated first order structure is $E/H_1 = E$. □

Theorem 16.4. *Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold and $F\hat{M}$ is a nowhere singular holomorphic foliation of the envelope of holomorphy $\hat{M}$ of M. Then every holomorphic family of effective reductive geometries with connected model over FM extends uniquely to a holomorphic family of reductive geometries over $F\hat{M}$.*

Proof. By lemma 7.1 on page 6, H acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. By theorem 16.3 on the facing page, the result follows. □

Theorem 16.5. *Every holomorphic family of effective parabolic geometries on a domain over a Stein manifold extends uniquely to the envelope of holomorphy of the domain.*

We omit the proof, which is once again a straightforward modification of the proof for a single effective parabolic geometry, using a holomorphic connection on the relative canonical bundle.

17. Flat Cartan connections and disk convexity

Pick a rational homogeneous variety (G, X). Any local biholomorphism $\delta \colon M \to X$ from a simply connected domain M over a Stein manifold to a rational homogeneous variety X is clearly the developing map of a flat parabolic geometry. The geometry extends to $\hat{M}$, and so the developing map extends as a local biholomorphism. Sergei Ivashkovich has another perspective on holomorphic extensions which is easy to apply to developing maps of a wider variety of models. A complex manifold M is *disk convex* if for every compact set $K \subset M$ there is a compact set $K' \subset M$ so that every holomorphic map of the closed disk to M sending the boundary to K sends the interior to K'; see Ivashkovich [7]. For example, compact complex manifolds are disk convex, as are affine complex analytic varieties.

Theorem 17.1 (Ivashkovich [7])**.** *Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold. Suppose that X is a disk convex Kähler manifold and that the holomorphic vector fields on X span the tangent bundle of X. If $f \colon M \to X$ is a local biholomorphism, then f extends uniquely to a local biholomorphism $f \colon \hat{M} \to X$.*

Corollary 17.2. *Suppose that M is a domain over a Stein manifold. Suppose that (G, X) is an effective complex homogeneous space and that X is disk convex and Kähler. (For example, (G, X) could be a reductive complex homogeneous space, or a rational homogeneous variety.) Then every flat holomorphic (G, X)-geometry on M extends uniquely to a flat holomorphic (G, X)-geometry on $\hat{M}$.*

18. Example: Hopf manifolds

18.1. **Definition.** A compact complex manifold M is called a *Hopf manifold* if its universal covering space is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. If additionally the fundamental group of M is generated by a single element, then the manifold is called a *primary Hopf manifold.*

A biholomorphism $f\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ fixing $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is *strictly contracting* if all of the eigenvalues λ of $f'(0)$ have $|\lambda| < 1$. If f is strictly contracting, let

$$M_f = \left(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}\right) / \left(z \sim f(z)\right);$$

then M_f is a primary Hopf manifold. Every Hopf manifold has a finite covering by a primary Hopf manifold, and any primary Hopf manifold is biholomorphic to some such M_f [11] p. 694. Any two primary Hopf manifolds M_f and M_g are biholomorphic if and only if there is a biholomorphism $h\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ fixing 0 so that $g \circ h = h \circ f$. It follows that the classification of primary Hopf manifolds reduces to the classification of strictly contracting biholomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$ up to conjugacy.

A *resonance* of a biholomorphism $f\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ fixing $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a relation of the form $\lambda_j = \lambda^\alpha$ where λ_j are the eigenvalues of $f'(0)$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ are some integers with $\sum_k \alpha_k \geq 2$. To each resonance we associate the *resonant polynomial map* $z \mapsto z^\alpha e_j$, where $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is the standard basis.

By the Poincaré–Dulac theorem [1] p. 184, any strictly contracting biholomorphism f is conjugate to a strictly contracting biholomorphism of the form $z \mapsto Az + w(z)$, where $A = f'(0)$ and $w = (w_1(z), \dots, w_n(z))$ is a map with each $w_i(z)$ a finite sum of resonant polynomial maps. Order the eigenvalues of A by modulus. We can replace $f(z)$ by $Az + w(z)$ without changing the biholomorphism type of the Hopf manifold, so we do this henceforth. The generic strictly contracting biholomorphism is therefore clearly linearizable, and conjugate to a diagonal linear map

$$z \mapsto (\lambda_1 z_1, \lambda_2 z_2, \dots, \lambda_n z_n).$$

18.2. Holomorphic affine connections.

Lemma 18.1. *A primary Hopf manifold $M = M_f$ admits a holomorphic affine connection if and only if, after perhaps replacing f by a conjugate, f is a linear map. If furthermore f is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, then the dimension of the space of holomorphic affine connections on M is the number of relations of the form $\lambda_i = \lambda_j \lambda_k \lambda^\alpha$, for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ and a multiindex α. In particular, the generic Hopf manifold admits a unique holomorphic affine connection. Without loss of generality, the generic Hopf manifold is M_f with f linear, and this connection lifts to $\mathbb{C}^n$ to be the standard flat affine connection $\nabla \partial_{z_j} = \partial_{z_j}$.*

Proof. Any holomorphic connection ∇ on the tangent bundle of a Hopf manifold $M = M_f$ must lift to $\mathbb{C}^n - 0$. It then differs from the standard Euclidean connection on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by a holomorphic 1-form valued in TM. Apply Hartogs's extension theorem to this 1-form: the connection holomorphically extends to an f-invariant holomorphic connection on $\mathbb{C}^n$. The exponential map will therefore also be invariant, and then clearly the exponential map will satisfy

$$f\left(\exp_0(tv)\right) = \exp_0\left(t f'(0) v\right).$$

Therefore the exponential map will identify f near 0 with a linear map, and so f is linearizable by the Poincaré–Dulac theorem. Therefore a primary Hopf manifold $M = M_f$ admits a holomorphic affine connection just when f is a linearizable map. Assume then that f is linear, say $f = g_0 \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, and diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then g_0-invariance of a connection is precisely that the

Christoffel symbols, expanded into a multiindex Taylor series

$$\Gamma^i_{jk}(z) = \sum_\alpha \Gamma^i_{jk\alpha} \frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!},$$

satisfy $\Gamma^i_{jk\alpha} = 0$ unless $\lambda_i = \lambda_j \lambda_k \lambda^\alpha$. □

Lemma 18.2. *The canonical bundle of a primary Hopf manifold admits a unique holomorphic connection. If the Hopf manifold is M_f and f is linear, then this connection lifts to $\mathbb{C}^n$ to be the standard flat connection $\nabla_{\partial_z} f = \partial_z f$.*

Proof. Every holomorphic line bundle on any Hopf manifold admits a holomorphic flat connection; see [12] p. 1013 theorem 4. Moreover, this holomorphic flat connection is unique, because the difference between any two holomorphic flat connections is a holomorphic 1-form, i.e. a holomorphic 1-form on $\mathbb{C}^n$ invariant under a strictly contracting map, which clearly must be 0. □

18.3. Reductive first order structures.

Example 18.3. Suppose that $G \subset \mathrm{GL}\,(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a closed complex subgroup and that $g_0 \in G$ is a strictly contracting linear map. Consider the quotient

$$B = \left(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}\right) \times_{\mathbb{Z}} G$$

where the $\mathbb{Z}$-action is generated by

$$(z, u) \mapsto \left(g_0 z, u g_0^{-1}\right).$$

Clearly $B \subset F M_{g_0}$ is a G-structure on M_{g_0}.

Theorem 18.4. *Suppose that $G \subset \mathrm{GL}\,(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a reductive linear algebraic subgroup. If $G \neq \mathrm{GL}\,(n, \mathbb{C})$ then, for generic choice of strictly contracting map f, the Hopf manifold M_f admits no holomorphic G-structure. Suppose that $B \subset FM$ is a G-structure on a primary Hopf manifold $M = M_f$. Then $f'(0) \in G$. If f is linearizable, then $M = M_{g_0}$ for some $g_0 \in G$. If G is semisimple, or has finitely many components and semisimple identity component, then there are no holomorphic G-structures on any linear Hopf surface, since all representations of G are virtually unimodular, so no elements are strictly contracting on $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

Proof. This structure B pulls back to a G-structure on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Identify

$$F\left(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}\right) = \left(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}\,(n, \mathbb{C}).$$

The prolongation of f is

$$f_1\,(z, u) = \left(f(z), u f'(z)^{-1}\right).$$

For each $(z, u) \in B$,

$$\left(f(z), u f'(z)^{-1}\right) \in B.$$

Since G is reductive, the pullback of B to $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ extends across 0, so can always change linearly the coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^n$ to arrange that $(0, I) \in B$, and $g_0 = f'(0) \in G$, and g_0 is a strictly contracting linear map, i.e. all of the eigenvalues of g_0 lie inside the open unit disk in the complex plane. □

18.4. **Reductive geometries.**

Example 18.5. Suppose that G is a complex linear algebraic group, $H \subset G$ a reductive complex algebraic subgroup, and let $X = G/H$. Suppose that G acts faithfully on X. Let $\rho \colon H \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ be the obvious representation. Take an element $h_0 \in H$. Suppose that all eigenvalues of $\rho(h_0)$ lie inside the open unit disk in the complex plane. Let $M = M_{\rho(h_0)}$. Let $E = (\mathbb{C}^n - 0) \times_{h_0} H$, the quotient by the left action $h_0(z,h) = (h_0 z, h_0 h)$. Define a 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1((\mathbb{C}^n - 0) \times H) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ by $\omega_{(z,h)} = h^{-1}\, dh$. Clearly ω is h_0-invariant, and therefore descends to a 1-form on E, which we also call ω, and which is a Cartan connection for a unique (G,X)-geometry on M.

Theorem 18.6. *Suppose that G is a complex linear algebraic group, $H \subset G$ a reductive complex algebraic subgroup, and let $X = G/H$. Suppose that G acts faithfully on X. Let $\rho \colon H \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ be the obvious representation. A primary Hopf surface M admits a holomorphic (G,X)-geometry if and only if it is biholomorphic to $M = M_{\rho(h_0)}$ for a unique element $h_0 \in H$.*

Proof. Existence follows from example 18.5 above. On the other hand, if $M = M_f$ admits a (G,X)-geometry, lemma 8.1 on page 7 shows that M_f admits a holomorphic affine connection, and lemma 18.1 on page 20 says that M_f admits a holomorphic affine connection if and only if f is linear, and finally theorem 18.4 on the previous page says $f = h_0 \in H$. □

18.5. **All known parabolic geometries on compact complex manifolds.**

Suppose that (G,X) is a rational homogeneous variety. Let us describe all known examples of holomorphic (G,X)-geometries on compact complex manifolds. There is a unique holomorphic (G,X)-geometry on X [13]. All flat holomorphic (G,X)-geometries are classified on compact complex surfaces [9]. All holomorphic parabolic geometries on complex tori are classified [15]; every holomorphic parabolic geometry on any complex torus is translation invariant.

Suppose that (G,X) is a compact Hermitian symmetric space. There is a real form $G^* \subset G$ of G which acts on X with a symmetric space orbit: the noncompact dual $X^* \subset X$ of X. There are many examples of Hermitian locally symmetric manifolds [16], i.e. compact complex manifolds with X^* as universal covering space. Each Hermitian locally symmetric manifold has a flat holomorphic (G,X)-geometry; I suspect that this geometry is the only parabolic geometry on that Hermitian locally symmetric manifold. There is one exotic example [8] of a (G,X)-geometry where $(G,X) = \left(\mathbb{P}\operatorname{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{P}^3\right)$, exotic because it is defined on a smooth complex projective variety, but is not (i) X or (ii) a complex torus or (iii) a locally Hermitian symmetric manifold. In each of our examples so far, all of the known geometries are flat. There are flat and nonflat holomorphic (G,X)-geometries on any complex torus of the same dimension as X [15].

Next suppose that (G,X) is a rational homogeneous variety, but is not symmetric. Every complex torus of the same dimension as X admits (G,X)-geometries; although all of these geometries are translation invariant, none of them are flat [15]. If $P \subset Q \subset G$ are parabolic subgroups of a complex semisimple Lie group, and if G/Q is symmetric, then any Hermitian locally symmetric manifold M modelled on G/Q bears an obvious holomorphic Q/P-bundle $Z \to M$ with a flat holomorphic $(G, G/Q)$-geometry. I suspect that this geometry is the only holomorphic parabolic geometry on the complex manifold Z. The only known examples of holomorphic

(G, X)-geometries are those on (i) X, (ii) complex tori and (iii) these bundles $Z \to M$ over Hermitian locally symmetric manifolds.

We introduce one more example:

Example 18.7. Take a complex semisimple Lie group G in its adjoint form and a parabolic subgroup P with Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$ and a subgroup $N^- \subset G$ as in lemma 11.2 on page 9, with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. Pick any element $m_0 a_0 \in MA$ so that the map $f = \operatorname{Ad}(m_0 a_0)$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}^-$ as a strictly contracting linear map. Such elements exist, and form an open subset in MA, as we will see shortly. Take the primary Hopf manifold $M = M_f$. Let $X = G/P$ and let $o = 1 \cdot P \in X$. Let $\delta(Z) = e^Z o \colon \mathfrak{n}^- \to X$. Let $h = \operatorname{ad}(m_0 n_0) : N^- \to N^-$. Since N^- is simply connected, the exponential map $\exp \colon \mathfrak{n}^- \to N^-$ is a diffeomorphism (Knapp [10] p. 107 theorem 1.127) and therefore a biholomorphism. Moreover, $N^- \cap P = \{1\}$, so that δ is a biholomorphism to its image. The image of δ is the N^--orbit of $o \in X$, which is also the basin of attraction of $\operatorname{ad}(m_0 n_0)$, as we will see in theorem 18.11 on page 25. This construction provides the first examples of flat holomorphic parabolic geometries on compact complex manifolds (other than the obvious example of $M = X$) for every rational homogeneous variety (G, X) which is not a compact Hermitian symmetric space.

Let us ask if there are any elements $m_0 a_0 \in MA$ which act on $\mathfrak{n}^-$ as strictly contracting linear maps. If so, then such elements will form an open set. The generic element of MA is conjugate to an element in the Cartan subgroup of G, so we can assume it has the form

$$m_0 = e^{\sum_i c_i H_{\alpha_i}},\ a_0 = e^{\sum_i c_i H_{\alpha_i}},$$

where the first sum is over compact positive simple roots, and the second over noncompact positive simple roots. We can then check that the eigenvalues with which such an element acts on $\mathfrak{n}^-$ are given, on the $-\beta$ weight space, by $\lambda_\beta = \exp \langle -\beta, \sigma \rangle$. where

$$\sigma = \sum_i \frac{c_i}{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle}.$$

We only have to arrange that $\langle \beta, \sigma \rangle > 0$ for every positive noncompact root β. We get to pick the constants $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ as we like. For example, if we pick them so that $\sigma = \delta$, then by lemma 12.1 on page 12, we find that $m_0 a_0$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}^-$ by a strictly contracting linear map.

18.6. **Parabolic geometries.**

Theorem 18.8. *Suppose that M is a primary Hopf manifold admitting a holomorphic parabolic geometry $E \to M$, say with model $X = G/P$. Let $\rho \colon P \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})$ be the obvious representation. Split P into the Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$. Then $M = M_{\rho(p_0)}$ for some element $p_0 = m_0 a_0 \in MA$ for which $\rho(p_0)$ is a strictly contracting linear map. In particular, either $(G, X) = (\mathbb{P}\operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{P}^n)$ or else the generic Hopf manifold admits no holomorphic (G, X)-geometry. A Hopf manifold admits a holomorphic parabolic geometry if and only if it admits a flat one.*

Proof. Mall [12] p. 1013 theorem 4 proves that every holomorphic line bundle on any Hopf manifold admits a holomorphic flat connection. Therefore the canonical bundle of M admits a holomorphic flat connection. By lemma 14.3 on page 14, the holomorphic connection on the canonical line bundle determines a holomorphic reductive MA-geometry $E_0 \subset E$. By theorem 18.6 on page 22, $M = M_{\rho(p_0)}$ for some $p_0 = m_0 a_0$. Example 18.7 on the previous page shows that such Hopf manifolds admit flat holomorphic parabolic geometries. $\square$

Theorem 18.9. *Every flat holomorphic parabolic geometry on any Hopf manifolds is one of those in example 18.7 on the preceding page.*

Proof. Take a Hopf manifold $M = M_f$ with a flat holomorphic parabolic geometry. By theorem 18.8 on the previous page, we can assume that $f = \operatorname{Ad}(m_0 a_0)$ for some $m_0 a_0 \in MA$. By lemma 18.2 on page 21, there is precisely one holomorphic connection on the canonical bundle of a Hopf manifold. Use it to reduce the parabolic geometry $E \to M$ to a reductive geometry $E_{MA} \subset E$. By lemma 14.3 on page 14, on the reduction

$$\omega^\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_P^-} t^\alpha_\beta \omega^\beta,$$

for any $\alpha \in \Delta_P^+$. It is easy to check that $t = \left(t^\alpha_\beta\right)$ is a holomorphic section of $T^* \otimes T^*$. Lift to $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$, extending by Hartogs extension theorem, and expanding into a Taylor series on $\mathbb{C}^n$. By f invariance, all terms in this Taylor series vanish, so $\omega^\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_P^+$. Therefore the reduction $E_{MA} \subset \delta^* G$ is mapped by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_{MA} \arrow[r] & \delta^* G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[d] & H \arrow[l] \\
& \mathbb{C}^n \arrow[r, "\delta"] & X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

as an integral manifold of the Pfaffian system $\omega^\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_P^+$. This left invariant Pfaffian system satisfies the conditions of the Frobenius theorem, and its maximal connected integral manifolds are precisely the left translates of $N^- MA$. Therefore the image of E_{MA} lies inside $N^- MA \subset G$. Let $X_o \subset X$ be the N^--orbit of $o \in X$. Clearly X_o is also the $N^- MA$-orbit of $o \in X$. Since $\delta(0) = o$ lies in X_o, the image of δ lies in X_o. The map $\log \delta \colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a biholomorphism identifying the Hopf manifold M_f with the Hopf manifold $M_{\operatorname{Ad}(m_0 a_0)}$, and identifying the flat parabolic geometries. $\square$

The moduli space of holomorphic parabolic geometries (or of holomorphic reductive geometries, or of flat holomorphic reductive geometries) is a complicated affine variety defined by hyperresonance conditions which we leave the reader to work out in detail; above we have determined when the moduli space is not empty. Even the dimension of the moduli space is given by elaborate hyperresonance conditions, which we also leave to the reader.

18.7. **Flat Cartan connections and basins of attraction.** We understand very little about the moduli space of nonparabolic geometries on Hopf manifolds, but for many nonparabolic geometries we can relate the moduli problem to a problem in dynamics. A primary Hopf manifold M_f has fundamental group

$$\pi_1(M_f) = \langle f \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

A developing pair (h, δ) on a Hopf manifold has holonomy morphism h determined completely by the element $h(f)$, called the *holonomy generator*.

Example 18.10. We can generalize the concept of Hopf manifold as follows. Suppose that (G, X) is a complex homogeneous space. Pick an element $o \in X$ and suppose that you can find an element $g \in G$ so that g fixes o and is strictly contracting near o. Let X_o be the basin of attraction of g toward o and let $M_g = \left(X_o - o\right)/g$; call M_g a (G, X)-*Hopf manifold*, and equip M_g with the obvious flat (G, X)-geometry. Clearly M_g is a Hopf manifold in the usual sense just when X_o is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Theorem 18.11. *Suppose that (G, X) is a complex homogeneous space and that X is disk convex and Kähler and that G acts effectively on X. Suppose that M_f is a primary Hopf manifold of complex dimension at least 2, bearing a flat holomorphic (G, X)-geometry with developing map $\delta \colon \mathbb{C}^n - 0 \to X$ and holonomy generator $h \in G$, i.e. $\delta(f(z)) = h\,\delta(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n - 0$. Then δ has a unique holomorphic extension to a holomorphic map $\delta \colon \mathbb{C}^n \to X$. Let $o = \delta(0) \in X$ and let H be the stabilizer of o, so $h \in H$. Let X_o be the basin of attraction of h toward o; we have a commutative diagram of biholomorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \arrow[d, "\delta"] \\
(X_o, o) \arrow[r, "h"] & (X_o, o)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and M_f is biholomorphic to M_h and has isomorphic flat (G, X)-geometry. In particular, $f'(0) \colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ has the same Jordan normal form as $\operatorname{Ad}(h) \colon \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$.

Proof. Corollary 17.2 on page 19 gives the required extension of δ. If we apply h enough times, then every point in the basin of attraction of o is eventually mapped to any arbitrarily small neighborhood of o, and we can pick such a small neighborhood so that δ maps onto that neighborhood, since $\delta(0) = o$ and δ is a local biholomorphism. The image of δ is invariant under h and so under h^{-1}, so equals the basin of attraction. Suppose that $\delta\left(z\right) = \delta\left(z'\right)$. Then $\delta\left(f\left(z\right)\right) = \delta\left(f\left(z'\right)\right)$, etc. so that we can arrange z and z' to lie in some arbitrarily small neighborhood of o, in which δ is a biholomorphism, so $z = z'$. Therefore δ is a holomorphic injection onto the basin of attraction of h. $\square$

If M_f has a flat holomorphic (G, X)-geometry and (G, X) is an equivariant product $G = G_1 \times G_2, X = X_1 \times X_2$, then $f'(0)$ must have at least two Jordan blocks. Moreover, the holonomy generator h must be conjugate to a strictly contracting map of complex Euclidean space on each factor. If $X = G/H$ and an element of H is not strictly contracting on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, then that element cannot be a holonomy generator of any flat holomorphic (G, X)-geometry on any Hopf manifold. The classification of flat (G, X)-geometries on Hopf manifolds, for disk convex Kähler X, reduces to the classification of the elements of G that act as a strict contractions on an open set $X_o \subset X$ biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$. For example, if (G, X) is a rational homogeneous variety, $X = G/P$, $P = MAN$, then the only elements of G which act as strictly contracting maps on open sets of X biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$ are, up to conjugation, the elements of MA. The generic element of P, even if it is strictly contracting near $o = 1 \cdot P \in X$, will have a basin of attraction which is not biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$.

19. Conclusion

The open problem of classifying holomorphic parabolic geometries on smooth complex projective varieties motivated the above research. In section 2 on page 2, we saw that there are new constraints which demonstrate that many complex manifolds have no parabolic geometries. I anticipate that these constraints will help to solve this open problem.

References

1. V. I. Arnol′d, *Geometrical methods in the theory of ordinary differential equations*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 250, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988, Translated from the Russian by Joseph Szücs [József M. Szűcs]. MR MR947141 (89h:58049) 20
2. M. F. Atiyah, *Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 181–207. MR MR0086359 (19,172c) 4
3. Claude Chevalley, *Sur certains groupes simples*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **7** (1955), 14–66. MR 0073602 (17,457c) 9
4. William Fulton and Joe Harris, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, A first course, Readings in Mathematics. MR 93a:20069 11
5. Lars Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, third ed., North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 7, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990. MR MR1045639 (91a:32001) 4
6. Jun-Muk Hwang and Ngaiming Mok, *Rigidity of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of the compact type under Kähler deformation*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 2, 393–418. MR MR1608587 (99b:32027) 5
7. Sergei Ivashkovich, *Extra extension properties of equidimensional holomorphic mappings: results and open questions*, Mat. Stud. **30** (2008), no. 2, 198–213. MR 2502929 (2010f:32006) 19
8. Priska Jahnke and Ivo Radloff, *Threefolds with holomorphic normal projective connections*, Math. Ann. **329** (2004), no. 3, 379–400. MR 2127983 (2005m:14068) 22
9. Bruno Klingler, *Structures affines et projectives sur les surfaces complexes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **48** (1998), no. 2, 441–477. MR 1625606 (99c:32038) 22
10. Anthony W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002. MR MR1920389 (2003c:22001) 9, 12, 23
11. Kunihiko Kodaira, *On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces II*, Am. J. Math. **88** (1966), 682–721. 20
12. Daniel Mall, *The cohomology of line bundles on Hopf manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **28** (1991), no. 4, 999–1015. MR 1152964 (93d:32045) 21, 23
13. Benjamin McKay, *Complete complex parabolic geometries*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2006), Art. ID 86937, 34. MR 2264710 (2007m:53098) 22
14. ______, *Extension phenomena for holomorphic geometric structures*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **5** (2009), Paper 058, 45. MR MR2529189 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 17, 18
15. ______, *Holomorphic parabolic geometries and Calabi-Yau manifolds*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **7** (2011), Paper 090, 11. MR 2861186 12, 13, 22
16. Ngaiming Mok, *Metric rigidity theorems on Hermitian locally symmetric manifolds*, Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1989. MR 1081948 (92d:32046) 22
17. Claudio Procesi, *Lie groups*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2007, An approach through invariants and representations. MR MR2265844 (2007j:22016) 7
18. Hugo Rossi, *On envelops of holomorphy*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 9–17. MR 0148940 (26 #6436) 2
19. Jean-Pierre Serre, *Complex semisimple Lie algebras*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, Translated from the French by G. A. Jones, Reprint of the 1987 edition. MR 2001h:17001 9, 10

20. Richard W. Sharpe, *Differential geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997, Cartan's generalization of Klein's Erlangen program, With a foreword by S. S. Chern. MR 98m:53033 6, 11
21. ______, *An introduction to Cartan geometries*, Proceedings of the 21st Winter School "Geometry and Physics" (Srní, 2001), no. 69, 2002, pp. 61–75. MR 2004f:53023 3

School of Mathematical Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland
E-mail address: `b.mckay@ucc.ie`